United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| | | • | | |
|--|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
| 10/708,868 | 03/30/2004 | Han-Che Wang | IEIP0010USA | 2867 |
| NORTH AMERICA INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY CORPORATION P.O. BOX 506 | | | EXAMINER | |
| | | | WILLIS, RANDAL L | |
| MERRIFIELD, VA 22116 | | • | ART UNIT - | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2629 | |
| | | • , | | • |
| | | NOTIFICATION DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | | 08/09/2007 | ELECTRONIC |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

winstonhsu.uspto@gmail.com Patent.admin.uspto.Rcv@naipo.com mis.ap.uspto@naipo.com.tw

| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|--|---|--------------------------------------|-----------------------|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summany | | 10/708,868 | WANG, HAN-CHE | | | |
| | Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | | Randal L. Willis | 2629 | | | |
| Period fo | - The MAILING DATE of this communication app r Reply | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1)⊠ | Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 Ju</u> | ine 2007. | | | | |
| | <u>_</u> | action is non-final. | | | | |
| 3) |) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| Dispositio | on of Claims | | | | | |
| 4) 🖂 | Claim(s) <u>1,3-10,12 and 13</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | |
| 4 | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | |
| 5)🖂 | Claim(s) <u>1 and 3-9</u> is/are allowed. | | | | | |
| 6)🖾 | Claim(s) <u>10,12 and 13</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | |
| 7) | Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | |
| 8) | Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | election requirement. | | | | |
| Application | on Papers | | | | | |
| 9) 🔲 7 | The specification is objected to by the Examiner | r. | | | | |
| 10)⊠ ٦ | The drawing(s) filed on <u>20 March 2004</u> is/are: a | a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to | by the Examiner. | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | |
| Priority u | nder 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | |
| 12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of: | | | | | | |
| | 1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | |
| | 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | | |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| 0. | de the attached detailed Office action for a list t | or the certified copies not received | u. | | | |
| Attachment(| (s) | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) | | | | | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application | | | | | | |
| • | ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date | 6) Other: | Acit Application | | | |
| | | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/708,868 Page 2

Art Unit: 2629

DETAILED ACTION

1. This office action is in response to amendments to application No. 10/708,868 filed June 6, 2007. Claims 1, 3-10, 12 and 13 are currently pending and have been examined.

Allowable Subject Matter

2. Claims 1, 3-9 allowed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* **v.** *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.

4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

5. Claims 10, 12-13 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Findlay in view of Ohuchi (4,903,012).

Apropos claim 10, Findlay teaches:

A method for calibrating coordinate values (calibration routine Col 5 line 24) generated by a touch panel, comprising:

- (a) utilizing a plurality of predetermined coordinate values (marks 260 and 270, Fig 2 predetermined to be top left and bottom right Col 5 lines 37) by means of the on-screen display (OSD) to control a screen to display a plurality of test marks,
- (b) generating a plurality of test coordinate values according to positions at which a touch panel is triggered (Col 5 lines 38-42);
- (c) calibrating the coordinate values of sensing signals generated by triggering the touch panel according to the predetermined coordinate values and the test coordinate values (Col 5 lines 45-55).

However, Findlay fails to explicitly teach:

receiving video drive signals corresponding to a predetermined image and controlling the video drive signals to overlay the test patterns on the predetermined image;

Application/Control Number: 10/708,868

Art Unit: 2629

In the same field of calibrating touch panels over displays, Ohuchi teaches displaying a test cursor to calibrate the touch panel, the test cursor being superimposed on the image data being displayed (Col 5 lines 63-68).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have the test marks as taught by Findlay to be superimposed upon the image as taught by Ohuchi in order to allow viewing of the information being displayed while calibration is in process.

Apropos claim 12, Findlay teaches:

The method of claim 10, wherein Step (c) utilizes the interpolation method (Col 5 lines 50-55)to calibrate the coordinate values generated by triggering the touch panel.

Apropos claim 13, Findlay teaches:

The method of claim 10, wherein Step (a) further comprises a step of receiving video drive signals corresponding to a predetermined image in order to determine the resolution of the screen, and controlling the predetermined coordinate values according to the resolution of the screen (Col 4 lines 23-26).

Application/Control Number: 10/708,868 Page 5

Art Unit: 2629

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Randal L. Willis whose telephone number is (571) 270-1461. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 7:30am to 5:00pm (EST).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Amr Awad can be reached on (571) 272-7764. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

AMR A. AWAD
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Am Ahmed from